



Ralph E. Jocke
Patent
&
Trademark Law

9-28-00
Walker
&
Jocke

a legal professional association

September 25, 2000

Box AF
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: Art Unit 3651
Patent Examiner: Michael E. Butler

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/086,857

Applicants: Frederick, et al.

For: System For Tracking and Dispensing Medical Items
From Environmentally Controlled Storage Area

Docket No.: D-1093

Sir:

Please find enclosed Applicants' Response to the Office Action dated August 18, 2000 including a Declaration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 and the Exhibit thereto for filing in the above referenced case.

No fee is due with this submission.

Very truly yours,

Ralph E. Jocke

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to addressee in an envelope addressed to Box AF, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 this 27th day of September 2000.

EL504224696US

Express Mail Label No.

Ralph E. Jocke

231 South Broadway

■ Medina, Ohio

44256-2601

330 • 722 • 5143
MEDINA

330 • 225 • 1669
CLEVELAND

330 • 722 • 6446
FACSIMILE

rej@walkerandjocke.com
E-MAIL



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)
David T. Frederick, et al.) Art Unit: 3651
)
Serial No.: 09/086,857) Patent Examiner:
) Michael E. Butler
Filed: May 29, 1998)
)
For: System For Tracking And)
Dispensing Medical Items)
From Environmentally)
Controlled Storage Area)

10350 MAIL REC'D
REC'D - 2 2001

RECEIVED

Box AF
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 16, 2000 Applicants submit the following
remarks:

REMARKS

Claims 1-47 are pending in the Application. Claims 4-23 and 27-44 are withdrawn from
consideration.

In the Action, Claims 1, 3, 24-25, 45 and 47 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)
as anticipated by Lavigne.

Claims 1 and 24 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Colson
'450.

Claim 45 was rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Pearson '232.